

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/697,720	COHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stephen L. Rawlings	1643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings.

(2) Pamela Sherwood.

**Date of Interview:** 7 October 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Art rejections of record; new matter rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

*29 and 30*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*of record*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed entry of an amendment to the claims and the specification which would place this application in condition for allowance; however due to time constraints and the unavailability of Applicant's representatives a decision could not be made with regard to the acceptability of the proposal and instead of completing an examiner's answer the Examiner will prepare a non-final Office action reopening prosecution to address new grounds of rejection.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)